JIHĀT-UL-ISLĀM
Vol: 18, Issue: 02, Jan – Jun 2025

OPEN ACCESS
JIHĀT-UL-ISLĀM
pISSN: 1998-4472
eISSN: 2521-425X
www.jihat-ul-islam.com.pk

Edward Said and Orientalism: A Critical Analysis of Western Scholars and Writers

Lubna Iftikhar
PhD Research Scholar, Institute of Arabic & Islamic Studies,
Government College Women University, Sialkot, Pakistan.
Dr. Syeda Sadia
Associate Professor, Institute of Arabic & Islamic Studies,
Government College Women University, Sialkot, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT

Edward Said was a Palestinian-American philosopher, writer, and renowned postcolonial studies critic. His masterwork "Orientalism" criticizes the way the West has historically portrayed the East as foreign, rigid, and submissive. According to Said, orientalism is a systematic discourse that carefully formed Western academics, politics, and art around the East rather than just a collection of misconceptions or ignorance about the region. This orientalist rhetoric, which portrays the Eastern nations as irrational, outdated, and in need of Western assistance, has authorized European domination. Said demonstrates how orientalist images have influenced study, politics, and artistic creation in Western culture through a thorough literary and historical analysis. . This article examines Said's critique of important Orientalist writers who have contributed to the spread of Eurocentric beliefs about the East, including William Muir, Ernest Renan, and Bernard Lewis. William Muir is a British colonial administrator and historian of Islam; his descriptions of Islam strengthened colonial prejudices of Muslim communities by portraying the faith as naturally violent and fanatical. Ernest Renan is a French philosopher, historian, and linguist; his racial ideas, which acted as a pseudo-scientific defense of European superiority, ranked the "Semitic" peoples below European intellectual capabilities. A later figure, Bernard Lewis, is a British-American historian who created rewritten histories that indirectly encouraged Western actions in the Middle East by portraying the Islamic world as opposed to development. Through the lens of Said's framework, this research identifies the enduring influence of these Orientalist thoughts in shaping Western views of the East, arguing that such perspectives are fundamentally flawed.

Keywords: *Edward Said, orientalism, western scholars, colonialism, William Muir, Bernard Lewis, Ernest Renan*

CC BY

Introduction

Edward Said's Orientalism is a classic work that questions the manner in which the West has come to represent the East. According to Said, Western thinkers, authors, and politicians have fabricated a skewed vision of the Orient; one that they depict as violent, primitive, and inferior. This distortion, he contends, was not simply a cultural gaffe but a conscious intellectual system intended to legitimize colonial domination and Western supremacy. His work reveals the extent to which Orientalism has shaped literature, art, and scholarly research to influence Western representations of Eastern cultures in political and ideological ways. Too many classical works in history, literature, and anthropology have perpetuated eastern stereotypes as mystifying, immobile, and unable to modernize without European or American effort. In addition to misrepresenting Eastern civilizations, these Orientalist portrayals have had a significant impact on global powers and foreign policies.

Many of the underlying assumptions of Orientalist philosophy still influence Western perspectives on the East, particularly when it comes to debates of Islam, the Middle East, and Asian cultures, even in the face of postcolonial efforts to decolonize the theory. By critically analyzing Said's framework, the article aims to highlight Orientalist thinking and the necessity of developing more eliminated and balanced approaches to understanding the East. Western intellectuals like William Muir, Ernest Renan, and Bernard Lewis have contributed greatly to Orientalist discourse, tending to perpetuate biased narratives of the East. British historian William Muir painted Islam as a religion of brutality and staticness, describing Prophet Muhammad (ﷺ) as well as Islamic society in pejorative terms to provide justification for colonial domination. French philosopher Ernest Renan set the Islamic world against the supposed intellectual superiority of the West, arguing that it was inherently incapable of reason and scientific advancement. In keeping with the tradition, modern Orientalist Bernard Lewis shaped Western policies towards the Middle East by portraying Islam as antagonistic to democracy and modernity.

The works of Bernard Lewis, Ernest Renan, and William Muir profoundly and persistently shaped Western attitudes towards the East, and particularly Islam. Their works impacted international politics, media reporting, colonial policy, and public and academic debate. Although influential, Edward Said's "Orientalism" refuted these biased perceptions, exposed their ideological underpinnings, and demanded balanced, decolonized strategies for coping with the East. The influence of these Orientalists may, however, still be traceable in contemporary Western philosophy.

Objectives of the Study

• To examine Said's argument that Western views of the East have been shaped by Orientalism, which is a structured ideology.

• To investigate the Orientalist thought, particularly in the fields of academia, literature, and colonial politics.

• To evaluate critically the manner in which Western authors and academics have traditionally portrayed the Orient, frequently promoting power structures and prejudices.

From these objectives, the research question raised is how Edward Said defines Orientalism as an organized discourse and in what ways Western scholars shaped Western perceptions of the East, particularly William Muir, Ernest Renan, and Bernard Lewis.

Introduction of Edward Said

Edward Said (1935–2003) was a Palestinian-American academic, literary critic, and public intellectual who is best known for his influential book "Orientalism" (1978). As a professor of literature at Columbia University, Said emerged as a prominent voice in postcolonial studies, contesting the prevailing Western discourses on the East. He was a pioneering figure in post colonialism and authored dozens of books, lectures, and essays. Anthologies of his essays have been released, and some of his interviews and dialogues have also been compiled into book form.[1]

Edward Said was born in Jerusalem under the British Mandate of Palestine, raised in Cairo, living most of his life in New York and his personal experiences of relocation and exile had a profound impact on his writing.[2] He believed that as it is the primary duty of intellectuals to confront and question received knowledge, criticism is a necessary component of intellectual activity. He always believed that criticism was important in life of a intellectuals because there is a need of "speak truth to power" in order to survive.[3] His work showed criticism was important and argued that Western portrayals of the East, specifically the Arab and Islamic world, were driven by a desire for power and colonial ambitions rather than any positive objective.

Said formulated the concept of Orientalism and pointed the misrepresentation of non-Western civilizations along with declarations of Western superiority, his work based on the work of scholars such as Michel Foucault and Antonio Gramsci.[4]

Said's work include literature, music, and politics but he is most popular work is "Orientalism". He was a strong advocate for Palestinian rights and a vocal opponent of Western media and political goals aimed at the Middle East. The relationship between colonialism and culture was reinforced by his later writings,

including Culture and Imperialism (1993).The influence of Edward Said endures in critical theory, postcolonial studies, and global discussions about representation, identity, and power.[5]

Edward Said's Reason for Writing "Orientalism"

The fact that Edward Said was an Arab who had grown up in British territories gave him a unique viewpoint. He found that his experiences as a Palestinian Arab differed greatly from the way Arabs were portrayed in the West. Said gained inspiration from the Arab-Israeli War of 1973. In contrast to the media's portrayal of Arabs as frightened and feeble fighters, he witnessed a conflicting reality in which the Egyptian military had really crossed the Suez Canal in October 1973. He saw a persistent disparity between the way he encountered Arabs firsthand and how they are depicted in Western literature and art**.** His objective was to pinpoint the representational framework that Western ideas of the Orient use to uphold imperial authority and colonial domination. [6]

"Orientalism" was written by Edward Said after he critically examined how the West perceives and represents the East. The book's goal was to reveal the biases and underlying hierarchies of power that exist in Western studies of Asia and the Middle East. His primary motivation was dispelling the myths, prejudices, and misconceptions that have long dominated Western discourse about the Orient.[7]

This book was written in response to the broader historical and political context of the 20th century, particularly the aspirations for independence of the formerly colonized nations. Said wanted to challenge the prevailing Western perspective and promote a more critical, introspective approach to researching Eastern civilizations. His work is still important now because it not only challenges past imperial presumptions but also shows how Orientalist ideas persist in modern politics, the media, and international relations.[8]

Exploring Orientalism: Definition and Core Arguments

'Orientalism' is a term that means the study of language, literature, religions, thoughts, art and social life of the East in order to make them available to the West.[9] Orientalism, is a systematic manner of thinking that the West has long used to comprehend, depict, and govern the East. It is a discourse, a body of knowledge with political and ideological purposes, rather than just an academic field. According to Edward Said, Orientalism creates a distinction between the East (irrational, primitive, and inferior) and the West (rational, progressive, and superior). He has permeated Western literature, art, and academia, impacting how Eastern civilizations are viewed and treated.[10]

According to Said's "Orientalism," knowledge about the East was created to further imperialist objectives rather than existing in a state of nothingness. The Orient was presented by Western academics, tourists, and colonial officials as

others, undeveloped, and in need of Western help. By presenting European colonial control as a mission of civilization rather than an act of dominance, this narrative served as proof of it. It was claimed, influenced by discourse theory, that knowledge and power are connected and that the West's "knowledge" of the East affected its methods of controlling and governing Eastern civilizations.[11]

Although colonialism officially came to an end, Said claims that Orientalist thinking continues today in the media, academia, and political language. Arabs and Muslims are stereotyped in the Western mind as violent, oppressive, or uncivilized and continue to inform Western policies and public attitudes. In film from Hollywood, through news, Orientalist paradigms have an ongoing hold on the narrative, perpetuating the notion of the East's difference from, and inferiority to, the West. By Orientalism, Said seeks to reject these representations and urge a more self-aware and critical method of understanding Eastern societies, uncontaminated by colonial assumptions.[12]

Western Scholars and the Orientalist Narrative

William Muir: Orientalism and the Colonial Mindset

William Muir (1819–1905) was a Scottish Orientalist, historian, and British colonial administrator in India. He is best known for his writings on Islamic history, Muir's work is considered a classic example of Orientalist scholarship, shaped by the colonial context in which he operated. His writings reflected the European imperialist mindset, portraying Islam as a religion of violence and it's Prophet as a political leader rather than a spiritual guide.[13]

Edward Said's main criticisms of Muir is that he portrayed Islam as inherently violent and regressive. William Muir depicted Islam as a faith spread by the sword, reinforcing the stereotype of Muslims as warlike and intolerant. By painting Islam as a threat, Muir's work implied that Western intervention was necessary to "civilize" Muslim societies. Said argues that such representations were not neutral academic observations but ideological constructs designed to justify British rule.[14]

Said also points to Muir's Eurocentrism and his inability to approach Islamic texts with objectivity. Muir largely employed Christian missionary narratives and orientalist interpretations rather than actual Islamic study. Said contends that this biased approach, which disregards the opinions of indigenous people and treats the view of western professionals as fact, defined the language of orientalists. These were Western stereotypes, not accurate descriptions of Islam.[15]

Said also emphasizes Muir's contributions align with the larger colonial strategy for knowledge creation. According to Muir's writings, Islam is static and incapable of progress, which is in line with the British colonial justification for governing India's Muslim population. This is a great example of how Orientalism

studies are more than merely studying the East. It is about imperialism instead, or the enslavement of the East for the West's benefit.[16]

Edward Said asserts that William Muir is an excellent example of the way in which Western academics have misrepresented Islamic history for their purposes of colonialism. Said analyzes Muir's political agenda, bias, and dishonesty in his work Orientalism. He contends that Muir's work was tools to uphold British colonial domination over Muslim communities, particularly in India, and not objective historical analyses.[17]

In Orientalism, Said examines Muir's political motivations, prejudice, and dishonesty. William Muir's publications provide a good illustration of how Orientalist research became intricately linked to colonial ideology. British imperialism benefited from his contribution to the creation of colonial knowledge, his use of skewed sources, and his portrayal of Islam as violent. Edward Said's analysis demonstrates these flaws, showing that Muir's study was not an impartial investigation but rather a political attempt to defend Western dominance in Muslim territories. Said's criticism pushes modern academics to examine narratives about history critically and to look beyond European-centered frameworks when analyzing Islam.

Ernest Renan: The Orientalist View of Civilization

Ernest Renan (1823–1892) was a French historian, philologist, and Orientalist who played a significant role in shaping Western perceptions of Islam.[18] He supported many of the racial and intellectual inequalities in Orientalist discourse with his descriptions of Semitic languages and civilizations. Renan believed Islam was inherently opposed to scientism, reason, and intellectual advancement, making it a hindrance to progress. His idea contributed to the wider Orientalist view that Islamic civilization was once brilliant but has now deteriorated to the point where it could not fit to modernity unless it was infused with Western culture.[19]

In his 1883 conversation, "Islam and Science," Renan made one of his most controversial claims: that Islam was inherently hostile to philosophical and scientific investigation, unlike Christianity or European philosophy. He believed that intellectual achievements in the Islamic world were a result of external influences, namely from Greek, Persian, and Indian sources. Renan believed that Islam suppressed free thinking and caused stagnation as soon as it gained hold. In line with the racial biases of 19th-century European study, he believed that the Semitic mind, which he associated with Arabs, was inherently inferior to the Indo-European intellect.[20]

Renan's work, which characterized Islam as a decaying civilization incapable of generating innovative ideas in science or democracy, was part of the broader

Orientalist unoriginal.[21] This presumption, which expressed the belief that the West must "civilize" the Orient, supported European colonial authority over Muslim territories. His writings gave later scholars and decision-makers the theoretical foundation for European engagement in the Middle East and North Africa.[22]

Edward Said criticized Renan's approach to Islamic studies, accusing him of being an Orientalist that reduced Islamic civilization into a reductionist, inferior standard. Said contends in Orientalism that Renan's work was founded on ingrained European preconceptions that saw the East as undeveloped and unproductive rather than on objective historical observation.[23]

Said also criticized Renan's ethnically organized understanding of history, particularly his assumption of Semitic inferiority to Aryan (Indo-European) intelligence. Said believed that this racial essentialism was the core of Orientalist philosophy since it enabled Western academics to assert that Islam was inherently constrained while advancing European hegemony. According to Said, Renan's work exemplified how Orientalism was not just a studied topic but also a discourse that was associated with colonialism, power, and racial hierarchy.[24]

The West's history of travelling to "other wonderlands" and disseminating information there in accordance with its political objectives dates back to Napoleon's Egypt Expedition. However, the philosophical, literary, artistic, and positivist scientific fields developed in the West throughout the 18th and 19th centuries were set against the backdrop of this expedition's political and economic goals.[25] Said's criticisms was that Renan had left out the intellectual achievements of Islam, particularly those of Muslim intellectuals in philosophy, mathematics, and medicine. Said saw this as evidence that Orientalist scholars were depriving Eastern civilizations of agency by blaming Islam for failures and attributing their achievements to Western or pre-Islamic origins. Renan selectively acknowledges the contributions of Islamic scholars, often relegating their achievements to mere translations of ancient Greek texts.[26]

Scholars continue to debate Renan's impact, but his writings serve as an illustration of how Western academics distorted Islam for imperialist purposes. Said's critique compels academics to abandon these antiquated frameworks and approach Islamic history independently, without the colonial and racist presumptions.

Orientalist research was not the scholarship of the East; rather, it was about defining and controlling the East in terms of Western colonialism and ideology, as Said showed by exposing Renan's racial and Eurocentric prejudices. Renan's arguments, which demonstrated that Muslim cultures needed Western intervention because they were incapable of governing themselves, served as

intellectual justification for European imperialism. Said's criticism of Renan is only a portion of his broader claim in Orientalism that colonial motive, bias, and power have influenced Western perceptions of the East throughout history rather than scholarly impartiality.[27]

Renan's work is a typical example of how Orientalist study was based on colonial ideology, reinforcing European supremacy over Muslim civilizations. His claims on Islam's dislike of reason and science were based on racial prejudices rather than objective analysis. These preconceptions are destroyed by Edward Said's analysis, which demonstrates that Orientalism was a language of dominance and power rather than just a field of study. Said's writings promote a more impartial and decolonized view of Islamic history and civilization by exposing such misconceptions.

Bernard Lewis: The Modern Legacy of Orientalism

Bernard Lewis (1916-2018) was a prominent Western scholar of the Middle East and Islam in the twentieth century. He was a British-American historian who specialized in Ottoman studies, Islamic history, and the history of Western-Muslim interactions.[28] His characterization of Islam as a declining civilization and his framing of the West's relationship with the East as a civilizational battle, in particular, have drawn a lot of criticism for maintaining Orientalist fables.[29]

Lewis made the central claim that Islamic civilization declined due to internal failure rather than external factors like colonization. He argued in his well-known article The Roots of Muslim Rage (1990) that Muslim animosity against Western modernity was at the core of the conflict between the Islamic world and the West. Samuel Huntington's "Clash of Civilizations" argument, which saw international problems as clashes between diverse cultural and religious identities, particularly between the West and Islam, was influenced by this piece of writing.[30]

Lewis' close connections to Western political and military establishments were also criticized by Said. Western politicians were greatly influenced by Lewis's arguments, which were used to defend legitimate Middle East conflicts such as the 2003 Iraq War. Said argues that rather than being an unbiased historian, Lewis was an academic who offered an intellectual defense of Western supremacy over the Muslim world.[31]

Edward Said was likely Bernard Lewis's most ardent critic, particularly in Orientalism and complying with work. Lewis was chastised by Said for continuing the work of traditional Orientalists by portraying Islam as a static, monolithic civilization that is constantly at odds with the West.[32] He ignored the internal diversity and complexity of Muslim communities, was one of Said's sharpest attacks. Instead of historical grievances like colonialism, military interventions, or Western backing for authoritarian governments, Lewis

contended in The Roots of Muslim Rage that Islamic hostility against the West had cultural and theological roots. Said rejected this categorization, claiming that Lewis' critique served Western political interests more so than historical truth.[33]
Bernard Lewis's portrayal of Islam as a sick civilization that is afraid of the West is consistent with a conventional Orientalist viewpoint. He perpetuated the false belief that Islam and modernity could not coexist, which supported Western domination over the area by ignoring the active role that colonialism and Western involvement played. Lewis's writing was political rhetoric intended to justify Western dominance in the Muslim world, not objective study, as Edward Said's critique shows. In his critique, Said encourages scholars to approach Islamic history with an awareness of its richness, intricacy, and realism, beyond such Orientalist assumptions.

Conclusion

William Muir, Ernest Renan, and Bernard Lewis are prime examples of how Western studies on Islam have often been shaped by colonial, racial, and ideological biases. These authors, through their works, painted a misleading picture of Islam as a barbaric, backward civilization while portraying Europeans as the ideal rulers. Edward Said's critique of Orientalism, published in 1979, highlights that these claims, which are provided by western authors are not rooted in historical fact but are rather ideological constructs meant to rationalize European exploitation of the Islamic world. Edward said genuinely to point out the work of Muir that it is totally biased. Muir's biography of the Prophet emphasized British colonial values, framing Muslims as a threat to law and order and suggesting that Islam spread through violence and that Islamic history is totally different. Bernard Lewis continued this Orientalist legacy in contemporary times, depicting Islam as a declining civilization and shaping Western discussions on Middle Eastern foreign policy. Renan's racialist perspective argued that Islamic civilization was incapable of scientific thought because Islam was a stagnate religion. By framing Islam as a failing civilization, Lewis perpetuated this Orientalist narrative into the present day and he continued the ritual of western scholars. Edward Said challenged the notion that the West has the right to define and dominate the East, critiquing the Eurocentric knowledge that underpins Orientalist assumptions. He advocated for a more nuanced and clear analysis of Islam, encouraging scholars to reflect on its internal dynamics, such as diversity. Ultimately, Said's critique of Orientalism remains relevant today, urging modern academics to explore Islamic history and civilization free from colonial and ideological biases, moving away from outdated perspectives, and that is the actual demand from every intellectual, to be honest.

☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆

References

1. Agnew, Jean-Christophe, and Roy Rosenzweig. 2008. *A Companion to Post-1945 America*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
2. Al-Shafi'i, Mohammed M., Aliyu Kabir, and Ahmad B. Shafie. 2024. "William Muir on Qur'anic Composition: A Critical Evaluation of his Imagination." *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 14 (12): 95. https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v14-i12/23943.
3. "Bernard Lewis, Eminent Middle East Historian at Princeton, Dies at 101." 2018. *Princeton University*. Last modified May 22, 2018. https://www.princeton.edu/news/2018/05/22/bernard-lewis-eminent-middle-east-historian-princeton-dies-101.
4. Çolak, Metin. 2021. "Edward Said and To Reconsider His 'Orientalism.'" *EUL Journal of Social Sciences* (June): 122.
5. "Deconstructing Orientalism: Edward Said's Critique and Contemporary Relevance." 2023. *International Journal of Enhanced Research in Educational Development (IJERED)* 11 (6): 289–290.
6. "Edward Said Legacy." n.d. *Confinity – Cherished Forever*. Accessed March 13, 2025. https://www.confinity.com/legacies/edward-said.
7. Hussein, Abdirahman A. 2004. *Edward Said: Criticism and Society*.
8. Iskandar, Adel, and Hakem Rustom. 2010. *Edward Said: A Legacy of Emancipation and Representation*. Oakland: University of California Press.
9. Kafeel, Khadija. 2024. "Orientalism by Edward Said." *Paradigm Shift*. Last modified October 7, 2024. https://www.paradigmshift.com.pk/orientalism/.
10. MacKenzie, John. 1995. *Orientalism: History, Theory and the Arts*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
11. Mallette, Karla. 2005. "Orientalism and the Nineteenth-Century Nationalist: Michele Amari, Ernest Renan, and 1848." *Romanic Review* 96 (2): 233–252. https://doi.org/10.1215/26885220-96.2.233.
12. "Muir, Sir William, 1819–1905 (Knight; Scottish Orientalist and Principal of the University of Edinburgh)." n.d. *University of Edinburgh Archive and Manuscript Collections*. Accessed March 23, 2025. https://archives.collections.ed.ac.uk/agents/people/9574.
13. Mohanty, Bharat Bhusan. 2000. *Edward W. Said's Orientalism: A Critique*. Jaipur: RAWAT Publications.
14. Othman, Mohamed. 2010. "Islam and Positive Orientalism in the Age of Ideology: Ernest Renan as an Example." *Logos*, no. 6: 9–51. https://doi.org/10.21608/logos.2021.151147.
15. "Orientalism by Edward Said." 2024. *The Philosophy Room (blog)*. April 5, 2024. https://www.thephilroom.com/blog/2024/04/05/orientalism-by-edward-said/.
16. "PRIEST, Robert D." 2015. "Ernest Renan's Race Problem." *The Historical Journal* 58 (1): 309–330. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0018246x14000181.
17. Said, Edward W. 2014. *Orientalism*. New York: Vintage.
18. ———. 2005. *On "Orientalism"*. Interview by Sut Jhally. Media Education Foundation.
19. Sarwar, Ghulam, Farzana Yameen, and Qurratul Ain. 2024. "Review of the Book *Orientalism* by Edward W. Said." *Jahan Tahqeeq* 07 (1): 1305.
20. Shahadat, Hussein. 2024. "Decoding Edward Said: A Critical Review of the Genesis of 'Orient', 'Occident', and 'Other'." *Journal of Historical Studies* 5 (1): 11–24. https://doi.org/10.47941/jhs.2350.

21. Suliman, Ahmed Hassan Mohamed. 2020. "The Roots of American Rage: Reflections on Bernard Lewis's *The Roots of Muslim Rage* and Samuel P. Huntington's *The Clash of Civilizations*." *Occasional Papers* 70 (April): 7–16.
22. Syed, Arwa. 2021. "The Middle East: An Orientalist Creation." *E-International Relations*. Last modified February 25, 2021. https://www.e-ir.info/2021/02/25/the-middle-east-an-orientalist-creation/.
23. "What Is the Relation Between Orientalism and Imperialism?" n.d. *eNotes*. Accessed March 16, 2025. https://www.enotes.com/topics/orientalism/questions/what-relation-between-orientalism-imperialism-228711.
24. "Jamal Al-Din Al-Afghani's Response to Renan's Critique of Islam." n.d. Doug Campbell, Ph.D. Accessed March 15, 2025. https://www.professorcampbell.org/sources/al-afghani.html.
25. "Ernest Renan." n.d. *Christian Classics Ethereal Library*. Accessed March 23, 2025. https://www.ccel.org/ccel/renan.
26. Mallette, Karla. "Orientalism and the Nineteenth-Century Nationalist: Michele Amari, Ernest Renan, and 1848," *American University of Beirut: 233*-252. doi:10.1215/26885220-96.2.233.
27. "Jamal Al-Din Al-Afghani's Response of Renan's Critique of Islam." Accessed March 15,2025 .https://www.professorcampbell.org/sources/al-afghani.html.
28. Emily Aronson "Bernard Lewis, Eminent Middle East Historian at Princeton, Dies at 101." Princeton University. Last modified May 22, 2018. https://www.princeton.edu/news/2018/05/22/bernard-lewis-eminent-middle-east-historian-princeton-dies-101
29. Said, Edward W. 2014. Orientalism. New York: Vintage.
30. Shahadat, Hussein. 2024. "Decoding Edward Said: A Critical Review of the Genesis of 'Orient', 'Occident', and 'Other'." Journal of Historical Studies 5 (1): 11–24. https://doi.org/10.47941/jhs.2350.
31. Shahadat, Hussein, "Decoding Edward Said",7
32. Bharat Bhusan Mohanty. *Edward W. Said's Orientalism A Critique*. Jaipur**:** Rawat Publication.,75-76
33. Bharat, *Edward W. Said's Orientalism A Critique*, 196